B.2. Application Form for Consideration of a Plan or Project

The Delta Reform Act creates the Delta Stewardship Council (Council) as an independent
agency of the state (Wat. Code §85200). SBX7 1 (effective February 3, 2010) gives the Council
several responsibilities, many linked to a comprehensive "Delta Plan," which the Council is
charged to develop, adopt, and commence implementation of by January 1, 2012. The Council
is also charged with developing an Interim Plan "...that includes recommendations for early
actions, projects, and programs" (Wat. Code § 85084). The Council has set August 27, 2010, as
the date for adoption of the Interim Plan. The Council uses the framework established in the
Interim Plan to make recommendations based on its responsibilities under SBX7 1. After the
Delta Plan is adopted, the Council decisions will become determinative.

8

9

25

1

2

1. Applicant Information

10	Request: Consideration as an ea	arly action:	Enforcement Audit and Plan
11	Consultation re plan:		
12	Consultation re: possible	covered action:	
13	Other (please specify): _		
14 15	Applicant Name: Coalition for a Sustainable Delta		
16	Legal status (city, special	l district, firm, indiv	idual, etc.): Non-profit organization
17 18	Address of applicant: 915 "L" Street, #C-438, S	Sacramento, CA 958	<u>314</u>
19 20	Contact information: Nat <u>Michael Boccadoro</u>	•	ndividual:
21	Role (officer, at	torney, etc.): <u>Spok</u>	esperson
22	Address:	925 L Street, Sui	te 800 Sacramento, CA 95814
23	Email:	mboccadoro@d	olphingroup.org
24	Telephone:	916-441-4383	

Legally 	y Responsible Entity Name (if different than Applicant):	
	Legal status (city, special district, firm, individual, etc.):	
	Address of applicant:	
	Contact information: Name of responsible individual:	
	Role (officer, attorney, etc.):	
	Address:	
	Email:	
	Telephone:	
oroject N/A		_
	Plan or Project Review by Public Agencies Government Discretionary Approval(s):	
/es	No X If yes, describe:	
Delta P	Protection Commission Consistency Approval(s):	
/es	No <u>X</u> If yes, describe:	
Bay Coı	onservation and Development Commission Permit:	
res	No <u>X</u> If yes, describe:	
State I		
ruit L	Lands Commission:	

1	CalTrans:
2	Yes No <u>X</u> _
3	State Water Resources Control Board Permit:
4	Yes No <u>X</u>
5	Regional Water Quality Control Board:
6	Yes No X_ Regional Board Number:
7	California Dept. of Toxic Substances Control:
8	Yes No <u>X</u>
9	California Department of Fish and Game Streambed Alteration Permit:
10	Yes No <u>X</u>
11	DF&G Take Authorization:
12	Yes No <u>X</u> _
13	Other DF&G Permit:
14	Yes No <u>X</u>
15	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers:
16	Yes No X_ Public Notice Number:
17	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service: Take Authorization
18	Yes No <u>X</u>
19	Biological Opinion:
20	Yes No <u>X</u>
21	NOAA Fisheries Service: Take Authorization
22	Yes No <u>X</u> _
23	Biological Opinion
24	Yes No <u>X</u>
25	U.S. Coast Guard:

1	Yes No <u>X</u>
2	Federal Funding:
3	Yes No <u>X</u>
4	Describe any history of consideration by any other governmental agency and provide documentation
5	of any actions taken.
6	A comprehensive audit of Delta-related enforcement obligations, activities, and shortfalls, and the creation of an enforcement
7	plan has not been undertaken.
8	
9	3. Environmental Impact Documentation (must be completed
10	by all applicants)
1	a. Is the project statutorily or categorically exempt from the need to prepare any environmental
12	documentation?
13	Yes <u>X</u> No
14	If "Yes," please attach a statement that identifies and supports this statutory or categorical exemption.
15	b. Has a government agency other than the Council, serving as the lead agency, adopted a negative
16	declaration or certified an environmental impact report or environmental impact statement on the
17	project?
18	YesNo <u>N/A</u>
19	If "Yes," attach a copy of the document. If the environmental impact report or statement is longer than
20	ten pages, also provide a summary of up to ten pages. If "No," provide sufficient information to allow
21	the Council to make the necessary findings regarding all applicable policies. The certified document
22	must be submitted prior to action on the application.
23	4. Assessment against Delta Reform Act Policy Objectives
	ii iissessiment against 2 otta itororiii riet i oney objectives
24	Assess the proposed plan or project against the eight policy objectives listed below which "the
25	legislature declares are inherent in the coequal goals for management of the Delta" (WC Section 85020).
26	Provide a brief summary for the rationale for each assessment and reference to any supporting
27	documentation (include URL links as appropriate).
28	(a) Manage the Delta's water and environmental resources and the water resources of the state
<u> 2</u> 9	over the long term.
30	Positive X Negative Neutral Unknown Not Applicable
31	Rationale, magnitude of effect (if positive or negative) and documentation:
32	An enforcement audit and plan will allow the Council to identify activities that should be taken under the
33	Delta Plan to ensure that parties meet existing obligations to protect and manage environmental and water
	resources of the state. An audit will identify when state agencies have failed to act, when an issue is not
	covered by a responsible agency, or where agencies lack the necessary funding or tools to act.

	Positive X Negative Neutral Unknown Not Applicable
	Rationale, magnitude of effect (if positive or negative) and documentation:
	An enforcement audit will improve enforcement of existing laws that protect and enhance the cultural,
	recreational and agricultural values of the California Delta. Enforcement of existing laws to protect the
	Delta ecosystem will also contribute to the protection of the unique values of the Delta.
	belta ecosystem win also contribute to the protection of the unique values of the belta.
	estore the Delta ecosystem, including its fisheries and wildlife, as the heart of a healthy estuary and and ecosystem.
	Positive X_ Negative Neutral Unknown Not Applicable
	Rationale, magnitude of effect (if positive or negative) and documentation:
	Enforcement serves as the foundation of the effort to address other stressors. Many stressors, such as poor water
	quality and predation by non-native sport fish, fall under existing obligations of state and federal agencies. Before the
	Delta Plan creates new obligations, shortfalls in the enforcement of existing laws should be documented and improved.
	Examples of existing laws that should be considered are enforcement of National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
	and section 401 Water Quality Certifications issued pursuant to the federal Clean Water Act and Waste Discharge
	Requirements issued pursuant to the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, implementation and enforcement of
	Total Maximum Daily Loads, halting of illegal water diversions, and addressing violations of the California Endangered
	Species Act.
(d) Pı	omote statewide water conservation, water use efficiency, and sustainable water use.
	PositiveX Negative Neutral Unknown Not Applicable
	Rationale, magnitude of effect (if positive or negative) and documentation:
	An enforcement audit will identify and address shortcomings in enforcement of existing laws that address
	improved water conservation, efficiency and sustainable use. For example, an enforcement audit will
	identify enforcement issues related to water rights and water diversions in the Delta.
	nprove water quality to protect human health and the environment consistent with
achie	eving water quality objectives in the Delta.
	Positive X_Negative Neutral Unknown Not Applicable
	Rationale, magnitude of effect (if positive or negative) and documentation:
	An enforcement audit will identify and address shortcomings in enforcement of existing laws that address water
	quality violations under the federal Clean Water Act and the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Act and identify
	opportunities related to improving, establishing and enforcing point discharge limits .
(f) Ir	nprove the water conveyance system and expand statewide water storage.
	PositiveNegative Neutral Unknown Not Applicable X
	Rationale, magnitude of effect (if positive or negative) and documentation:
	educe risks to people, property, and state interests in the Delta by effective emergency
prep	aredness, appropriate land uses, and investments in flood protection.
	Positive X Negative Neutral Unknown Not Applicable
	Rationale, magnitude of effect (if positive or negative) and documentation:
	An enforcement audit will identify and address shortcomings in enforcement of existing laws, such as DFG's
	Lake and Streambed Alteration Program (Fish & G. Code, § 1600), related to the reduction of risks and
	appropriate land uses.
	and the second s
(h) F	stablish a new governance structure with the authority, responsibility, accountability,

1	Positive X Negative Neutral Unknown Mot Applicable				
2	Rationale, magnitude of effect (if positive or negative) and documentation:				
3	Ensuring full enforcement of existing laws and identifying enforcement gaps and needed resources will				
4	improve the accountability of all agencies operating in the Delta. A comprehensive understanding of the				
	responsibilities of the agencies operating in the Delta will assist the Council in drafting a plan that supports				
5	an improved governance structure in the Delta.				
6	5. Assessment of Administration and Implementation				
7	Processes				
8	Cost of Project/Plan: Please provide your best estimate of the total cost of the project or plan you are				
9	proposing. If this is a Plan, please provide an estimate of the annual operational or enforcement costs				
10	projected for the activity. Please list all sources used for developing the cost estimates				
	This action will take staff time. As a necessary component to creating an effective Delta Plan, the enforcement audit should be				
11	considered a part of the ongoing Delta Plan preparation process. Because staff and consultants are already engaged in the				
12	drafting of the Delta Plan, this activity will not require additional funding. In addition, Council should request that State				
	agencies operating in the Delta, including the SWRCB, RWQCBs, DFG, etc. assist by providing necessary information.				
13	Financing (provide information on public and private sources of funding, including funds on hand or				
14	legally pledged or obligated and the sources of those funds):				
15	This action requires no additional funding beyond what has already been committed for staff and consultant time to prepare				
16	the Delta Plan.				
18 19 20	proposed action to succeed. Provide evidence of their approval and support of the proposed action: None, except for the Delta Stewardship Council. Cooperation (in the form of information) by State agencies with activities in the Delta would be helpful, but not necessary.				
21	If real property must be acquired or use altered for the success of the proposed action, identify the				
22	owners of that property and information on how ownership or use change will occur:				
23	N/A				
24					
25	Provide a time line for the proposed plan or project, including major milestones through completion:				
26 27	This enforcement audit—including a request for information from state agencies—would be undertaken immediately, so that the resulting information and the enforcement plan could be incorporated into the June 2011 draft Delta Plan.				
28	Describe how success or failure of the plan or project will be determined, including measures				
29	proposed, time frame and public agency responsible for judging success:				
30	Successful action would be measured by the completion of detailed enforcement plan to be included as a component in the				
31	Delta Plan (December 2011).				
32	Describe the major benefits that can result from the proposed plan or project, including identification				
33	of beneficiaries and any information on the magnitude and timing of benefits received:				
34	A better understanding of available enforcement tools, existing enforcement responsibilities of state agencies, enforcement				
35	activities, enforcement gaps, and needed enforcement resources and funding will assist the Delta Stewardship Council in				
50	drafting a more effective Delta Plan.				
36	If the proposed plan or project fails, what is done? What additional costs could be incurred and how				
37	will they be financed? Identify any lasting effects or changed options for future policy making:				
38	The failure to understand and consider enforcement as an important tool for the Delta Plan will compromise the Delta				
39	Stewardship Council's mission to achieve the co-equal goals.				

6. Scientific justification (to address requirement for Council use of best available science, Water Code section 85302(g)):
 Attach description of scientific justification for the proposed plan or project and provide any pertinent documents. Address the criteria identified in Section 3 when preparing the scientific justification. Provide complete list of all scientific references cited.
 None

 Applicant certifications and authorizations

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

I certify that all of the information submitted is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and that all attached exhibits are full, complete and correct. I certify that I understand that omitted or insufficient information can delay consideration of this application. I certify that this application is not complete until accepted by the Council at a regularly scheduled meeting. I authorize the Council, its staff or other authorized personnel to share this information publicly and authorize their collection of additional information relevant to this application.

Signature of applicant or applicant's representative

Date

Printed name: Michael Boccadoro Title: Spokesperson

Insert for Section 3.a. - Statement Regarding Application of the California Environmental Quality Act:

Conducting an audit of existing enforcement obligations and responsibilities is not a project for the purpose of CEQA because it is an organizational or administrative activity that will not result in direct or indirect physical changes in the environment. (Cal. Pub. Resources Code, § 21065; CEQA Guidelines, § 15378(b)(5).) CEQA only applies to those government agency actions that have the potential for resulting in direct physical changes or reasonably foreseeable indirect changes in the environment.

Even if undertaking such an audit could be categorized as a "project" for purposes of CEQA, there are a number of applicable categorical exemptions, including enforcement actions (CEQA Guidelines, § 15321), actions undertaken by a regulatory agency to protect natural resources and the environment (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15307; 15308), and information collection activities (CEQA Guidelines, § 15305). In addition, ongoing projects are statutorily exempt from CEQA (CEQA Guidelines, § 15261) and an audit of ongoing enforcement activities would be properly categorized as an ongoing activity because the authorities being studied already exist.